

Record of the conversation between Iain Davis (@_InThisTogether) and Brent Lee (@BrentLeeSDCIC).

October 15th - 18th 2023

InThisTogether

Post 1: - @_InThisTogether

Brent, if you believed that 7/7 was a false flag (between 2005 - 2018), that is Islamist terrorists weren't responsible, why were you seemingly protesting against the admittedly daft notion that Sharia Law would be introduced to the UK in 2010?

Brent Lee

Post 2: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

That wasn't what the protest was about. Again, showing how you prioritise your assumptions over researching the facts of the matter.

Do better.

InThisTogether

Post 3: - @_InThisTogether

I didn't assume Brent, that is why I said "seemingly." Following your rap the chant was "one law for all - No Sharia Law!" That's this organisation isn't it?

<https://onelawforall.org.uk/faqs/>

[OLA - One Law for All campaigns against Sharia and religious arbitration in the UK, Iran and across the globe.]

Brent Lee

Post 4: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

I was in a human rights activism group: Anti-Injustice Movement. We were invited to perform and speak at the OLA protest. It was to highlight an investigation that exposed a number of underground illegal Shariah courts operating in the UK. It wasn't about

ridiculous far right claims of Shariah takeover, etc... <https://onelawforall.org.uk/20-june-2010/>

InThisTogether

Post 5: - @_InThisTogether

Thanks Brent. I can see why it was confusing time for you. In June 2010 you were at a rally protesting against islamism and in Oct 2010 suggesting that islamists were not guilty of 7/7. How did standing up to Shariah law fit in with your conspiracy theory obsessions?

Brent Lee

Post 6: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

I wasn't protesting Islam. It was a protest to highlight illegal courts and human rights violations in the UK. Conspiracism and caring about fellow humans goes hand in hand, does it not?

InThisTogether

Post 7: - @_InThisTogether

"Conspiracism" is a pretty meaningless, junk science term. If you were motivated by compassion I understand, but I didn't suggest you were questioning Islam Brent, I asked how protesting against Sharia Law fitted in with your obsessions about "secret societies." So how did it?

Brent Lee

Post 8: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

It's not. It's a perfectly apt term.

I already explained. Sorry if you don't understand words.

"Quote"

[Brent Lee quoted his definition of conspiracy, conspiracy theory and conspiracism as follows]

Sep 5 2023:

I always get asked: "What's your definition of a conspiracy theory, conspiracy theorist, conspiracist and conspiracism."

So for the record, this is what I mean.

A conspiracy theory is an alternative explanation of an event or subject which - without hard evidence and contrary to the "official story"- claims that it has uncovered a criminal act (a conspiracy) and the official story is a cover story for a devious plot of deception.

A conspiracy theorist is someone who believes or entertains a conspiracy theory. Like 9/11 was an inside job -or- Lee Harvey Oswald was a patsy. They believe this thing and that's pretty much it. It doesn't affect their life very much, they can just carry on.

A conspiracist is entrenched in conspiracism. Conspiracism is an ideology. A world view. The belief that the entire world operates through many intertwined conspiracies being planned by a network of secret societies, cults, or other allegiances. Something akin to Qanon and other "grand conspiracies" like the Illuminati/NWO, WEF Global Takeover, or Bill Gates Depop Agenda. You can not escape it because it dominates your entire world view and colours your perception of everything.

That was me. That is the primary thing I talk about and what I want to challenge.

InThisTogether

Post 9: - @_InThisTogether

Actually "conspiracism" has a scientific definition, but I note your opinion. Perhaps we could discuss this another time. Again, could you please explain to me how your opposition to Sharia Law was congruent with your obsessions about secret societies ruling the world?

Brent Lee

Post X: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

I explained why I was there.

InThisTogether

Post 10: - @_InThisTogether

Your explanation makes no sense. You denied you were protesting against Sharia courts, then admitted it. But at the time, according to you, you were obsessed with a belief that secret societies were running the world. So how did opposing Sharia courts fit in to that world view?

Brent Lee

Post 11: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

It makes perfect sense, I made it perfectly clear. What is it that you're really trying to figure out here? What is your line of investigation? Are you trying to work out if I really was a conspiracist or something?

Everything I have said about my history is true. What do you need to show you to accept that? You don't have to agree with my conclusion/position today, but all this suspicion about my life story is just ridiculous. People change.

Have you read this?

My story: <https://medium.com/@falseflagproductions/tin-foil-confessions-escaping-the-cult-of-conspiracy-an-intro-by-brent-lee-29a5f5458549>

Have you looked at my old content?

Blogs: <https://x.com/BrentLeeSDCIC/status/1550445763863498752?s=20>

Music (read the lyrics) : <https://brentleeregan.bandcamp.com/album/false-flags-patsies>

An Interview from 2009: <https://x.com/BrentLeeSDCIC/status/1550445911528148994?s=20>

Brent Lee

Post 11: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

I know Igor alleges that he couldn't find much conspiracy stuff in my Twitter activity.. you repeated his claims. I guess neither of you looked very hard?

[Brent shared a screenshot of (then) Twitter posts which show his beliefs in "secret societies," the Illuminate, NWO and so on. There is notably no engagement at all on any of these posts.]

InThisTogether

Post 12: - @_InThisTogether

Brent, as soon as you shared your evidence with me I wrote:

"It seems pretty clear that Brent was primarily interested in the more esoteric aspects of alleged conspiracy theory."

Please can you explain to me what that's got to do with protesting against Sharia Courts?

Brent Lee

Post 13: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

How many times? The answer is right here. I can't put it any clearer. Try reading in good faith.

(Brent reshared screen shots of Post 4 and Post 6]

InThisTogether

Post 14: - @_InThisTogether

You have not answered the question at all Brent. You acknowledge you were protesting against Sharia Courts. I have repeatedly asked you how that fitted in with your very clearly stated "obsessions" about "secret societies." So please, actually answer the question in good faith.

Brent Lee

Post 15: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

Both of those screenshots [Post 4 & 6] are good faith answers. I told you why I was invited there and my motivation for being there. My care for humanity and conspiracism went

hand in hand. That was my only appearance there. You're hyper focused on literally one hour of my life.

InThisTogether

Post 16: - @_InThisTogether

Your definition of conspiracism ideology:

The belief that the entire world operates through ...conspiracies..planned by a network of secret societies, cults, or other[s].

What did you think Sharia Courts had to do with conspiracies planned by a network of secret societies?

Brent Lee

Post 17: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

Why does it have to? You're building a strawman. It's like saying.. I saw you eating a burger. What has that to do with you being a conspiracist?

My conspiracism was fuelled by my care for humanity. These underground illegal courts operating in the UK were a violation of human rights. That's literally it. How can you not understand?

InThisTogether

Post 18: - @_InThisTogether

I do understand. So, beyond humanitarian compassion, is it fair to say protesting against Sharia Courts had nothing to do with your obsessions about secret societies? You also held broader, political concerns about human rights violations and so on. Is that reasonable?

Brent Lee

Post 18: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

That's what I've been saying. I didn't seek the protest out. I was invited as part of the AIM and I agreed with the premise.

Every time someone asks, "Why did you get into conspiracy theories and become a conspiracist?", I always say it was from a place of compassion.

InThisTogether

Post 19: - @_InThisTogether

So, as you define it, you wouldn't say you were a "conspiracist" in 2010, more of a "conspiracy theorist" perhaps. When do you think you became what you would call a "conspiracist"?

Brent Lee

Post 20: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

No, I was definitely a conspiracist before then. I just didn't have the term. I happily embraced being called a conspiracy theorist although I preferred "Truther", but it didn't bother me either way.

I was full on by the time I joined the David Icke forum in 2005. I didn't join that forum because I believed everything he said.. nor did a lot of people there.. it was just a place to discuss conspiracy theories with other people.

InThisTogether

Post 21: - @_InThisTogether

How is that possible Brent? According to you conspiracism is an inescapable "grand conspiracy" ideology that colours your perception of everything. But you've already said your Sharia protest were simply driven by your humanitarian concerns, not any inescapable ideology.

Brent Lee

Post 22: - @BrentLeeSDCIC

ALL of it was driven by my humanitarian concerns. It's really not hard to comprehend.

I'm just telling my story openly and honestly. EVERYTHING I am saying about my life is true. Accept it or don't, I don't care. You're clearly bad faith and have an agenda. So we're done here.

InThisTogether

Post 23: - @_InThisTogether

I accept your life story but question your logic. You have a stated agenda to rescue so-called conspiracists despite seemingly holding a very muddled concept of alleged conspiracism. Your own narrative is illogical. So I suggest your definition is wrong, not your story.

At this point Brent blocked me. Unfortunately this meant that I had to block Brent in return otherwise Brent would be able to make any claims he wished about my views without my knowledge. It is a sad state of affairs.

Subsequently I shared a screenshot of his block on my account with the following comment:

https://twitter.com/_InThisTogether/status/1714602502404878669

InThisTogether

Post 24: - @_InThisTogether

Brent Lee is promoted by the entire legacy media complex as some sort of expert on "conspiracism." Yet, when someone branded by him and the media as a conspiracist, challenges his definition, this is his response. Which, sadly, has to be reciprocated.

The blocking has to be reciprocated because it enables people like Brent Lee to make posts like the following then hide them by blocking you. The last post I saw from Brent was

Post 22, where he said "we're done here." I subsequently checked our conversation about 30 minutes later to discover that Brent had posited the following reply and then almost immediately blocked me. Thus I was unable to view what he said.

<https://twitter.com/BrentLeeSDCIC/status/1714575447030218768>

[Brent Lee](#)

Post 25: - [@BrentLeeSDCIC](#)

At the end of the day, I'm being honest. You're not. I owe you no explanations. It's been three days of going around in circles and I'm tired of justifying my existence to you. I lived my life, you didn't. Now kindly go eff yourself.



Brent Lee 
[@BrentLeeSDCIC](#)



At the end of the day, I'm being honest. You're not. I owe you no explanations. It's been three days of going around in circles and I'm tired of justifying my existence to you. I lived my life, you didn't. Now kindly go eff yourself.



InThisTogether [@_InThisTogether](#) · 1h



Replying to [@BrentLeeSDCIC](#)

I accept your life story but question your logic. You have a stated agenda to rescue so-called conspiracists despite seemingly holding a very muddled concept of alleged conspiracism. Your own narrative is illogical. So I suggest your definition is wrong, not your story.

